arXiv:hep-th/9712040v3 16 Feb 1998

KCL-TH-97-69
hep-th/9712040
December 1997

Gauge Fields and M-Fivebrane Dynamics

N.D. Lambert
and
P.C. West[⋆]

Department of Mathematics
King's College, London
England
WC2R 2LS

ABSTRACT

In this paper we obtain both the vector and scalar equations of motion of an M-fivebrane in the presence of threebrane solitons. The resulting equations of motion are precisely those obtained from the Seiberg-Witten low energy effective action for $N = 2$ Yang-Mills, including all quantum corrections. This analysis extends the work of a previous paper which derived the scalar equations of motion but not in detail the vector equations. We also discuss some features of an infinite number of higher derivative terms predicted by M theory.

KEY WORDS: M Theory, Fivebrane, Yang-Mills

PACS: 11.15.Tk, 11.25.Sq, 11.30.Pb

[⋆] lambert, pwest@mth.kcl.ac.uk

1. Introduction

Recently classical, long wavelength information of M theory has been successfully used to understand detailed quantum properties of four-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories. This work was initiated by Witten [1], who argued that the auxiliary Riemann surface which appears in the Seiberg-Witten low energy effective action of $N = 2$ Yang-Mills can be naturally interpreted and moreover derived from the geometric structure of a single M theory fivebrane.

In a recent paper it was shown [2] that not only the geometrical structure of the Seiberg-Witten solution, but in fact the entire low energy effective action can be deduced from a knowledge of the classical M-fivebranes equations of motion describing threebrane solitons [3]. However, while the full low energy effective action was derived in [2], the discussion relied heavily on the $N = 2$ supersymmetry to deduce the vector zero mode equations from a knowledge of the scalar action alone. In particular this calculation did not discuss the origin of the vector zero modes in detail or how their equations might be determined independently of the scalar zero modes. Furthermore this calculation only made use of the purely scalar part of the M-fivebrane equations, which can be derived from the standard 'brane' action $\sqrt{-\det g}$. Thus no use was made of the rich and unique structure of the M-fivebrane arising from the self-dual three-form. The purpose of this paper is to explicitly derive the Seiberg-Witten effective action from the M-fivebranes equations of motion for both the scalar and vector fields. In addition the literature has also been concerned with deriving information on $N = 1$ Yang-Mills from the M-fivebrane. Another motivation for considering the method presented in this paper is as a first step towards calculating the M-fivebrane's low energy effective action in these cases. It is also not known to what extent the M-fivebrane can reproduce the correct low energy quantum corrections to the classical action in these cases. Such calculations are then potentially particularly significant since there is no known analogue of the Seiberg-Witten effective action for $N = 1$ Yang-Mills coupled to massless scalars.

It is commonly written that the vector part of the Seiberg-Witten effective action may be obtained from the six dimensional action

$$S = \int d^6x \; H \wedge \star H \;, \tag{1.1}$$

as follows. First one decomposes the three form into $H = F^I \wedge \lambda_I$ where λ_I, $I = 1, ..., N$ are a basis of non-trivial one forms of the Riemann Surface and F^I are four-dimensional $U(1)$ field strengths. The next step is to impose the self-duality constraint on H and dimensionally reduce the resulting expression in (1.1) over the Riemann surface Σ. However, as a text book calculation quickly reveals, since H is an odd form $H \wedge \star H = H \wedge H = 0$. Thus it is highly unlikely that an interesting action in four dimensions can be obtained by this procedure. Another problem with an action as a starting point can be seen in the case that the Riemann surface has genus one. One then has only one holomorphic form λ and its complex conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$. Thus the only non-zero expression that occurs is $\int_\Sigma \lambda \wedge \bar{\lambda}$. However this integral is pure imaginary and is related to $\mathrm{Im}\tau$ whereas both $\mathrm{Im}\tau$ and $\mathrm{Re}\tau$ appear in the Seiberg-Witten effective action.

Indeed, there are strong objections to the use of an action for the M-fivebrane equations of motion, due to the chiral nature of its three form. In fact it has been shown that no action can capture the full physics of the M-fivebrane [4]. This point has again been stressed more recently by Witten [5]. In this paper we shall only consider the equations of motion of the M-fivebrane and not attempt to invoke an action at any time. At the end of the day it will turn out that the resulting four-dimensional equations of motion do possess an action formulation (the Seiberg-Witten effective action), but this is not surprising since there are no longer any chiral forms.

In this paper we shall use the manifestly covariant form of the M-fivebrane's equations of motion found in [6]. These equations were derived from the superembedding formalism applied to the M-fivebrane [7,8]. In the next section we obtain the relevant equations for the low energy motion of N threebrane solitons moving in the M-fivebrane. In section three we discuss the solution to the self-duality condition. In sections four and five we reduce the vector and scalar equations over the Riemann surface respectively. In section six we discuss the exact form of an infinite number of higher derivative contributions to the purely scalar part of the low energy action, as predicted by the M-fivebrane. These terms are compared with the corrections to the Seiberg-Witten effective action obtained from calculations in Yang-Mills theory. Finally we close with a discussion of our work in section seven.

It should be noted that there are other formulations of the M-fivebrane equations, which furthermore can be derived from an action [9]. This action relies on the appearance of an auxiliary, closed vector field V with unit length.⋆ While the form of this action is different from (1.1) and so not manifestly zero, it is not clear what one should take for the vector field V. Indeed on a generic Riemann Surface (with genus different from one) there are topological obstructions to the global existence of V. Once this problem is over come, one would have to determine the rôle of the auxiliary field in relation to the Seiberg-Witten effective action. As mentioned above, the derivation presented in this paper does not invoke a six-dimensional action. Furthermore, some details of the derivation presented here could be interpreted as suggesting that there are substantial difficulties in obtaining the Seiberg-Witten effective action from a six-dimensional action. This maybe in accord with the statement [4] that one cannot derive all of the M-fivebrane physics from an action.

⋆ There is a non-covariant form without an auxiliary field, however this may be viewed a resulting from a particular choice of the vector field, namely $V = dx^5$.

2. Fivebrane Dynamics in the Presence of Threebranes

The M theory fivebrane has a six-dimensional $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet of massless fields on its worldvolume. The component fields of this supermultiplet are five real scalars $X^{a'}$, a gauge field $B_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}$ whose filed strength satisfies a modified self-duality condition and sixteen spinors Θ^i_β. The scalars are the coordinates transverse to the fivebrane and correspond to the breaking of 11 dimensional translation invariance by the presence of the fivebrane. The sixteen spinors correspond to the breaking of half of the 32 component supersymmetry of M-theory. The classical equations of motion of the fivebrane in the absence of fermions and background fields are [6]

$$G^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}\nabla_{\hat{m}}\nabla_{\hat{n}}X^{a'} = 0 \ , \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$G^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}\nabla_{\hat{m}}H_{\hat{n}\hat{p}\hat{q}} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

where the worldvolume indices are $\hat{m},\hat{n},\hat{p} = 0,1,...,5$ and the world tangent indices $\hat{a},\hat{b},\hat{c} = 0,1,...,5$. The transverse indices are $a',b' = 6,7,8,9,10$. We now define the symbols that occur in the equation of motion. The usual induced metric for a p-brane is given, in static gauge, by

$$g_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = \eta_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} + \partial_{\hat{m}}X^{a'}\partial_{\hat{n}}X^{b'}\delta_{a'b'} \ . \tag{2.3}$$

The covariant derivative in the equations of motion is defined with the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $g_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}$. Its action on a vector field $T_{\hat{n}}$ is given by $\nabla_{\hat{m}}T_{\hat{n}} = \partial_{\hat{m}}T_{\hat{n}} - \Gamma^{\hat{p}}_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}T_{\hat{p}}$ where

$$\Gamma_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}^{\ \ \hat{p}} = \partial_{\hat{m}}\partial_{\hat{n}}X^{a'}\partial_{\hat{r}}X^{b'}g^{\hat{r}\hat{s}}\delta_{a'b'} \ . \tag{2.4}$$

We define the vielbein associated with the above metric in the usual way $g_{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = e_{\hat{m}}^{\ \hat{a}}\eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}e_{\hat{n}}^{\ \hat{b}}$. The inverse metric $G^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}$ which occurs in the equations of motion is related to the usual induced metric given above by the equation

$$G^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = (e^{-1})_{\hat{c}}{}^{\hat{m}} \eta^{\hat{c}\hat{a}} m_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{d}} m_{\hat{d}}{}^{\hat{b}} (e^{-1})_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{m}} \ . \tag{2.5}$$

The matrix m is given by

$$m_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{b}} = \delta_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{b}} - 2h_{\hat{a}\hat{c}\hat{d}} h^{\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}} \ . \tag{2.6}$$

The field $h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ is an anti-symmetric three form which is self-dual;

$$h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}\hat{f}} h^{\hat{d}\hat{e}\hat{f}} \ , \tag{2.7}$$

but it is not the curl of a three form gauge field. It is related to the field $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ which appears in the equations of motion and is the curl of a gauge field, but $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ is not self-dual. The relationship between the two fields is given by

$$H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}} = e_{\hat{m}}{}^{\hat{a}} e_{\hat{n}}{}^{\hat{b}} e_{\hat{p}}{}^{\hat{c}} (m^{-1})_{\hat{c}}{}^{\hat{d}} h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{d}} \ . \tag{2.8}$$

Clearly, the self-duality condition on $h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{d}}$ transforms into a condition on $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ and vice-versa for the Bianchi identify $dH = 0$.

The appearance of a metric in the equations of motion which is different to the usual induced metric has its origins in the fact that the natural metric that appears for the fivebrane has an associated inverse vielbein denoted by $(E^{-1})_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{m}}$ which is related in the usual way through $G^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = (E^{-1})_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{m}} (E^{-1})_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{n}} \eta^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}$. The relationship between the two inverse vielbeins being $(e^{-1})_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{m}} = (m^{-1})_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{b}} (E^{-1})_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{m}}$. The inverse vielbein $(E^{-1})_{\hat{a}}{}^{\hat{m}}$ will play no further role in this paper. This completes our discussion of the fivebrane equations of motion and we refer the reader to reference [6] for more details of the formalism and notation.

We will be interested in fivebrane configurations that contain within them threebrane solutions. Such solutions, which were found in [3], play an crucial part in the recovery of the $N = 2$ Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions and we now summarise them. Given that the six-dimensional coordinates of the fivebrane are denoted by hatted variables $\hat{m}, \hat{n} = 0, 1, 2, ..., 5$ we take the world-volume of the threebrane to be in the plane $x^\mu = (x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$. We let unhatted variables refer to the coordinates transverse of the threebrane i.e. $x^n = (x^4, x^5)$. We will assume all fields to depend only on these transverse coordinates. In fact, of the two transverse scalars of the fivebrane we take only two of them, X^6 and X^{10} to be non-constant. We also take the gauge field strength $H_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} = 0$. Examining the supersymmetric variation of the spinor we find that this configuration preserves half of the original sixteen supersymmetries, leaving eight supersymmetries, provided the two transverse coordinates X^6 and X^{10} obey the Cauchy-Riemann equation with respect to x^4 and x^5 [3]. As such, we introduce the variables

$$z = x^4 + ix^5, \quad s = X^6 + iX^{10} \ , \tag{2.9}$$

and conclude that the Bogomoln‘yi condition is simply that s depends only on z and not $\bar{z}$ (i.e. $s = s(z)$). As seen from the M-theory perspective this result means that the presence of threebranes within the the fivebrane implies that the fivebrane is wrapped on a Riemann surface in the space with complex coordinates s and z [2]. In fact, the threebranes correspond to the self intersections of the M-theory fivebrane.

The fivebrane equations then reduce to the flat Laplacian [3]

$$\delta^{mn}\partial_n\partial_m s = 0 \ , \tag{2.10}$$

which is automatically satisfied due to the Bogomoln’yi condition. We are interested in field configurations which are everywhere smooth except at $z = \infty$. Some such configurations are given by [2]

$$s = s_0 - \ln\left(B + \sqrt{Q}\right) \ , \tag{2.11}$$

where we have introduced the polynomial $Q = B^2(z) - \Lambda^{2N}$ and s_0 and Λ are constants. The quantity $B(z)$ is a Nth order polynomial in z which can be written in the form

$$B(z) = z^N - u_{N-1}z^{N-2} - u_{N-2}z^{N-3} - ... - u_1 \ . \tag{2.12}$$

Following [1] we introduce the variable $t = e^{-s}$ whereupon we find that the threebrane solution implies the equation

$$F(t,z) = t^2 - 2B(z)t + \Lambda^{2N} = 0 \ . \tag{2.13}$$

We recognise this equation, after a suitable shift in t to absorb the term linear in t, to be the standard equation for a hyper-elliptic curve of genus N. The u_i's corresponding to the moduli of this Riemann surface. For simplicity, we will be interested for most of this paper in the case of $N = 2$, but the results are readily extended to the case of $N \geq 3$

We are interested in the low energy motion of N threebranes and so take the zero modes of the threebrane to depend on the worldvolume coordinates x^μ, $\mu = 0, 1, \ldots 3$, of the threebrane. Thus we will arrive at a theory with eight supersymmetries living on the four dimensional threebrane worldvolume. The moduli u_i of the Riemann surface are related to the positions of the N threebranes and as such we take them to depend on the world-volume i.e. $u_i(x^\mu)$. For example the u_N coefficient, which is the sum of the roots of B, represents the centre of mass coordinate for the threebrane and has been set to zero. These will turn out to be the $2N$ complex scalars in the four dimensional theory. There are also $4N$ further bosonic moduli associated with large two form gauge transformations at infinity. These are associated with the field strength $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ and their precise form will be discussed later. These zero modes correspond to the gauge fields of the resulting four dimensional theory on the four dimensional threebrane world-volume. We also have $8N$ fermionic zero modes corresponding to the broken supersymmetries in the presence of the threebrane, these correspond to the spinors in the resulting four dimensional theory. Taken together the zero modes make up a $N = 2$ super $(U(1))^N$ multiplet which lives on the four dimensional threebrane world-volume.

This procedure is analogous to the more simple case of monopole solutions to $N = 2$ Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions. At low energy the motion of the monopoles can be described by taking the moduli of the monopole solution to depend on the worldline coordinate t. For the case of one monopole the moduli space is just given by the transverse coordinates $\underline{x}$ and the coordinate corresponding to large gauge transformations θ.

Our main task in this section is to derive the consequences of the fivebrane classical dynamics, encoded in the equations of motion (2.1) and (2.2), for the x^μ dependent moduli of the threebrane. The first step in this direction is to work out in detail the geometry of the fivebrane in the presence of these zero modes. We work with the coordinates $z = x^4 + ix^5 \quad \bar{z} = x^4 - ix^5$, introduced above, for which the Euclidean metric takes the form $\eta_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \eta^{z\bar{z}} = 2$ and $\eta_{zz} = 0 = \eta_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}$. We also define the derivatives $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$. In these coordinates we find, for example, that $|\partial s|^2 = \partial s \bar{\partial} \bar{s} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{mn} \partial_n s \partial_m \bar{s}$.

The usual induced metric of the fivebrane, in the static gauge, and in the presence of the threebrane takes the form

$$g_{\hat{n}\hat{m}} = \eta_{\hat{n}\hat{m}} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\hat{m}} s \partial_{\hat{n}} \bar{s} + \partial_{\hat{n}} s \partial_{\hat{m}} \bar{s}) \ . \tag{2.14}$$

For future use we list the individual components in the longitudinal and transverse directions to the threebrane

$$\begin{aligned} g_{z\mu} &= \frac{1}{2} \partial s \partial_\mu \bar{s} = (g_{\bar{z}\mu})^* \ , \\ g_{\mu\nu} &= \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu s \partial_\nu \bar{s} + \partial_\nu s \partial_\mu \bar{s}) \ , \\ g_{z\bar{z}} &= g_{\bar{z}z} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |\partial s|^2) \ , \\ g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} &= g_{zz} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

It is straightforward, if a little tedious, to construct the inverse of this metric and the result is

$$g^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} = \eta^{\hat{m}\hat{n}} + \alpha(\partial^{\hat{m}}s\partial^{\hat{n}}\bar{s} + \partial^{\hat{m}}\bar{s}\partial^{\hat{n}}s) + \beta\partial^{\hat{m}}s\partial^{\hat{n}}s + \bar{\beta}\partial^{\hat{m}}\bar{s}\partial^{\hat{n}}\bar{s} \ , \tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{(1+|\partial s|^2+\frac{1}{2}|\partial_\mu s|^2)}{\left\{\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu\bar{s})^2(\partial_\mu s)^2 - \left(1+|\partial s|^2+\frac{1}{2}(|\partial_\mu s|)^2\right)^2\right\}} \ , \\ \beta &= -\frac{\partial_\mu\bar{s}\partial^\mu\bar{s}}{\left\{(\partial_\mu\bar{s})^2(\partial_\mu s)^2 - 4(1+|\partial s|^2+\frac{1}{2}|\partial_\mu s|^2)^2\right\}} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

We will only be interested in the low energy action and it will prove useful to list the component of the inverse metric to the second order in spacetime derivatives. The results are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\mu\nu} &= \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1}(\partial^\mu s\partial^\nu\bar{s} + \partial^\mu\bar{s}\partial^\nu s) + O((\partial_\mu s)^3) \ , \\ g^{\mu z} &= -(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1}\partial_\mu s\partial\bar{s} + O((\partial_\mu s)^3) = (g^{\mu\bar{z}})^* \ , \\ g^{zz} &= (1+|\partial s|^2)^{-2}\partial_\rho s\partial^\rho s\bar{\partial}\bar{s}\bar{\partial}\bar{s} + O((\partial_\mu s)^3) = (g^{\bar{z}\bar{z}})^* \ , \\ g^{z\bar{z}} &= \frac{2}{1+|\partial s|^2} + \frac{\partial_\mu s\partial^\mu\bar{s}|\partial s|^2}{(1+|\partial s|^2)^2} + O((\partial_\mu s)^3) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

We also require the vielbein associated with the usual induced metric (i.e. $e_{\hat{n}}{}^{\hat{a}}\eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}e_{\hat{m}}{}^{\hat{b}} = g_{\hat{n}\hat{m}}$). To the order in spacetime derivatives to which we are working the components of the vielbein is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu{}^z &= \frac{1}{(1+|\partial s|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\partial_\mu s\bar{\partial}\bar{s}; \quad e_z{}^\mu = 0 \ , \\ e_\mu^{\bar{z}} &= \frac{1}{(1+|\partial s|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\partial_\mu\bar{s}\partial s; \quad e_{\bar{z}}{}^\mu = 0 \ , \\ e_z{}^z &= e_{\bar{z}}{}^{\bar{z}} = (1+|\partial s|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ , \\ e_\mu{}^a &= \delta_\mu{}^a \ , \quad e_{\bar{z}}{}^z = e_z{}^{\bar{z}} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Finally, we compute the Christoffel symbol given in equation (2.4). For the configuration of interest to us it becomes

$$\Gamma_{\hat n\hat m}{}^{\hat r} = (\partial_{\hat n}\partial_{\hat m}X^{a'})\partial_{\hat p}X_{a'}g^{\hat p\hat r} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\hat n}\partial_{\hat m}s\partial_{\hat p}\bar s g^{\hat p\hat r} + \partial_{\hat n}\partial_{\hat m}\bar s\partial_{\hat p}s g^{\hat p\hat r}) \ . \tag{2.20}$$

To the order to which we are working we find, for example, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho} &= 0 \ , \\
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{z} &= \partial_\mu\partial_\nu s\bar\partial\bar s(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1} \ , \\
\Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\bar z} &= \partial_\mu\partial_\nu\bar s\partial s(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Having computed the geometry of the fivebrane in the presence of the threebrane zero modes, we can now evaluate the bosonic equations of motion for the fivebrane. While the order of the the $\partial_\mu s$ is clear form the above expressions we must also establish the order of the spacetime derivatives in the gauge field field strength H. The precise form of this object follows from solving the self-duality condition and is given in the next section. We note here that $H_{\mu\nu z} = (H_{\mu\nu\bar z})^*$ is first order in spacetime derivatives, while $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ is second order in spacetime derivatives and $H_{\mu z\bar z} = 0$.

We begin with the scalar equation of equation (2.1). Using (2.6) to second order in spacetime derivatives this equation can be written as

$$g^{\hat m\hat n}\nabla_{\hat m}\nabla_{\hat n}s - 4(e^{-1})^{z}{}_{z}h^{z\hat c\hat d}h_{z\hat c\hat d}(e^{-1})^{z}{}_{z}\nabla_z\nabla_z s = 0 \ . \tag{2.22}$$

Using equation (2.21) for the Christoffel symbol the term $g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu s$ becomes

$$g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu s = g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu s - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}{}^{\hat n}\partial_{\hat n}s) = g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu s\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat p}\bar s g^{\hat p\hat n}\partial_{\hat n}s\right) \ , \tag{2.23}$$

The final factor in this equation can be evaluated to be $(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1}$. The other terms can be processed in a similar way and one finds that the scalar equation of motion is given by

$$(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu s + 2g^{\mu z}\partial_\mu\partial_z s + g^{zz}\partial_z\partial_z s - 4(e^{-1})^{z}{}_{z}h^{z\hat{c}\hat{d}}h_{z\hat{c}\hat{d}}(e^{-1})^{z}{}_{z}\partial\partial s)\frac{1}{(1+|\partial s|^2)} = 0 \ . \tag{2.24}$$

Substituting the expressions for the inverse metric to the appropriate order in (four-dimensional) spacetime derivatives, we find the scalar equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}E = 0 \ , \tag{2.25}$$

where

$$E \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu s - \partial_z\left\{\frac{(\partial_\varrho s\partial^\varrho s)\bar{\partial}\bar{s}}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\right\} - \frac{16}{(1+|\partial s|^2)^2}H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}H^{\mu\nu}{}_{\bar{z}}\partial\partial s = 0 \ . \tag{2.26}$$

Let us now evaluate the vector equation (2.2). To the order in spacetime derivatives to which we are working we can set $m_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{a}} = \delta_{\hat{b}}{}^{\hat{a}}$ and the vector equation becomes

$$g^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}\nabla_{\hat{m}}H_{\hat{n}\hat{p}\hat{q}} = 0 \ . \tag{2.27}$$

Taking $(\hat{p},\hat{q}) = (\mu,\nu)$ the equation can be shown to become

$$E_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_z H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}}H_{\mu\nu z} = 0 \ , \tag{2.28}$$

after discarding a the factor $(1+|\partial s|^2)^{-1}$. In finding this last result we have, for example, discarded spacetime derivatives acting on $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ as such terms would be cubic in spacetime derivatives.

Taking $(\hat{p},\hat{q}) = (\nu, z)$ the equation becomes

$$g^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}\{\partial_{\hat{m}}H_{\hat{n}\nu z} - \Gamma_{\hat{m}\hat{n}}{}^{\hat{p}}H_{\hat{p}\nu z} - \Gamma_{\hat{m}\nu}{}^{\hat{p}}H_{\hat{n}\hat{p}z} - \Gamma_{\hat{m}z}{}^{\hat{p}}H_{\hat{n}\nu\hat{p}}\} = 0 \ . \tag{2.29}$$

These terms can be processed as for the scalar equation, for example, we find that

$$-g^{\hat{m}\hat{n}}\Gamma_{\hat{m}z}{}^{\hat{r}}H_{\hat{n}\nu\hat{r}} = -\partial_z\left(\frac{\partial^\mu s\bar{\partial}\bar{s}}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\right)H_{\mu\nu z} + \partial_z\left(\frac{\partial^\mu\bar{s}\partial s}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\right)H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}\ . \tag{2.30}$$

Evaluating the other terms in a similar way the vector equation becomes

$$\partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu z} - \frac{\partial_\mu\bar{s}\partial s}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\partial_{\bar{z}}H_{\mu\nu z} - \partial_z\left\{\frac{\bar{\partial}\bar{s}\partial_\mu s H_{\mu\nu z}}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\right\} + \partial_z\left\{\frac{\partial s\partial_\mu\bar{s}}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\right\}H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}} = 0\ . \tag{2.31}$$

Finally, using equation (2.28) we can rewrite this as

$$E_{\nu z} \equiv \partial^\mu H_{\mu\nu z} - \partial_z T_\nu = 0\ , \tag{2.32}$$

where

$$T_\nu = \frac{\bar{\partial}\bar{s}\partial^\mu s}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}H_{\mu\nu z} - \frac{\partial s\partial^\mu\bar{s}}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}\ . \tag{2.33}$$

3. The Self-Dual Three Form

Although the field $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ is a curl it does not obey a simple self-duality condition. On the other hand, the field $h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ is not a curl but does obey a simple self-duality condition, namely

$$h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} = \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}\hat{d}\hat{e}\hat{f}}h^{\hat{d}\hat{e}\hat{f}}\ . \tag{3.1}$$

The strategy we adopt to solve the self-duality condition for $h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ and use equation (2.8) which relates $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$ to $h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ and deduce the consequences for $H_{\hat{m}\hat{n}\hat{p}}$.

We adopt the convention that all indices on $h_{\dots}$ are always tangent indices. Upon taking the various choices for the indices $\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}$ we find that equation (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{abz} &= \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}h^{cd}{}_{z}\ , \\
h_{ab\bar{z}} &= -\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}h^{cd}{}_{\bar{z}}\ , \\
h_{z\bar{z}a} &= \frac{1}{3!}\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}h^{bcd}\ , \\
h_{bcd} &= -2i\varepsilon_{bcde}h_{z\bar{z}}{}^{e}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Hence the independent components can be taken to be h_{abz}, $h_{ab\bar{z}}$ and $h_{z\bar{z}e}$. We are not interested in the most general such three form, but one which corresponds to the zero modes of the three form field strength that correspond to finite gauge transformations at infinity. As such, we set $h_{z\bar{z}e} = h_{abc} = 0$.

We now deduce $H_{\hat{n}\hat{m}\hat{p}}$ from Equation (2.8) which at the order we are working takes the form

$$H_{\hat{n}\hat{m}\hat{p}} = e_{\hat{n}}{}^{\hat{a}}e_{\hat{m}}{}^{\hat{b}}e_{\hat{p}}{}^{\hat{c}}h_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}}\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Taking $(\hat{n}\hat{m}\hat{p}) = (\mu, \nu, z)$, using the form of the vielbein of equation (2.19), and working to at most second order in spacetime derivatives we find that

$$H_{\mu\nu z} = \delta_{\mu}{}^{a}\delta_{\nu}{}^{b}(1 + |\partial s|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}h_{abz}\ , \tag{3.4}$$

since the vielbein $e_z{}^{\hat{c}}$ can only have $\hat{c} = z$ to order $(\partial_\mu s)^1$. Taking $(\hat{n}\hat{m}\hat{p}) = (\mu, z, \bar{z})$ equation (2.8) becomes

$$H_{\mu z\bar{z}} = e_{\mu}{}^{a}e_{z}{}^{z}e_{\bar{z}}{}^{\bar{z}}h_{az\bar{z}} = 0\ . \tag{3.5}$$

Finally taking $(\hat{n}\hat{m}\hat{p}) = (\mu, \nu, \rho)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\mu\nu\varrho} &= 3\delta_{[\mu}{}^{a}\delta_{\nu}{}^{b}(e_{\varrho]}{}^{z}h_{abz} + e_{\varrho]}{}^{\bar{z}}h_{ab\bar{z}})\ , \\
&= \frac{3}{(1 + |\partial s|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\delta_{[\mu}{}^{a}\delta_{\nu}{}^{b}(\partial_{\varrho]}s\partial_{\bar{z}}\bar{s}h_{abz} + \partial_{\varrho]}\bar{s}\partial_{z}sh_{ab\bar{z}})\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since h_{abz} and h_{abz} obey the self-duality conditions of equation (3.1), it follows that $H_{\mu\nu z}$ and $H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}$ will obey the self-duality relations

$$H_{\mu\nu z} = \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\varrho\kappa}H^{\varrho\kappa}{}_{z};\ H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}} = -\frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\varrho\kappa}H^{\varrho\kappa}{}_{\bar{z}}\ . \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting for $H_{\mu\nu z}$ and $H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}$ in equation (3.6) we find that $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ can be written as

$$H_{\mu\nu\varrho} = \frac{3}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}(\partial_{[\varrho}s\bar{\partial}\bar{s}H_{\mu\nu]z} + \partial_{[\varrho}\bar{s}\partial s H_{\mu\nu]\bar{z}})\ , \tag{3.8}$$

which in turn can be rewritten as

$$H_{\mu\nu\varrho} = \frac{i}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\varrho\lambda}(\partial^\tau\bar{s}\partial s H_{\lambda\tau\bar{z}} - \partial^\tau s\bar{\partial}\bar{s}H_{\lambda\tau z})\ . \tag{3.9}$$

In the previous section we worked out the equations of motion for the three form and in this section we have solved the self-duality condition arising in the fivebrane dynamics to find

$$\begin{aligned} H =&\frac{1}{2!}H_{\mu\nu z}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dz + \frac{1}{2!}\bar{H}_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge d\bar{z} \\ &+\frac{1}{2!}\frac{i}{(1+|\partial s|^2)}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\varrho\lambda}(\partial^\tau\bar{s}\partial_z s H_{\lambda\tau\bar{z}} - \partial^\tau s\partial_{\bar{z}}\bar{s}H_{\lambda\tau z})dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dx^\varrho\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Finally we should check that the three form H is closed (to second order in four-dimensional derivatives):

$$\begin{aligned} dH =& \frac{1}{2!}\partial_\lambda H_{\mu\nu z}dx^\lambda\wedge dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dz + \frac{1}{2!}\partial_\lambda\bar{H}_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}dx^\lambda\wedge dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge d\bar{z} \\ &-\frac{1}{3!}\partial_z H_{\mu\nu\lambda}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dx^\lambda\wedge dz - \frac{1}{3!}\partial_{\bar{z}}H_{\mu\nu\lambda}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dx^\lambda\wedge d\bar{z} \\ &-\frac{1}{2!}\partial_z H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge d\bar{z}\wedge dz + \frac{1}{2!}\partial_{\bar{z}}H_{\mu\nu z}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dz\wedge d\bar{z}\ , \\ &=\frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{\rho\lambda\nu}{}^{\mu}E_{\mu z}dx^\rho\wedge dx^\lambda\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dz - \frac{i}{3!}\varepsilon_{\rho\lambda\nu}{}^{\mu}E_{\mu\bar{z}}dx^\rho\wedge dx^\lambda\wedge dx^\nu\wedge d\bar{z}\ , \\ &+\frac{1}{2!}E_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu\wedge dx^\nu\wedge dz\wedge d\bar{z}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Thus one can readily verify that conditions following from $dH = 0$ are just equations of motion found in the previous section, as indeed should be the case.

We complete this discussion by solving equation (3.7) in terms of a real field $F_{\mu\nu}$ and its four-dimensional dual $\star F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\tau}F^{\lambda\tau}$. Writing $H_{\mu\nu z}$ as an arbitrary linear combination of these two fields we find that the only solution to equation (3.7) is given by

$$H_{\mu\nu z} = \kappa \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.12}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} + i \star F_{\mu\nu}$ and κ is an as yet undetermined quantity. Since $H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}}$ is the complex conjugate of $H_{\mu\nu z}$ we conclude that

$$H_{\mu\nu\bar{z}} = \bar{\kappa}\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3.13}$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} - i \star F_{\mu\nu}$.

In order to satisfy the equation (2.28) one a finds that κdz must be a holomorhic one form on Σ. Therefore, for a Riemann surface of genus one, we must set $\kappa = \kappa_0 \lambda_z$, where $\lambda_z = ds/du$ is the unique (up to scaling) holomorphic one form on Σ and κ_0 is independent of z and $\bar{z}$. In fact, we will take

$$H_{\mu\nu z} = \frac{ds}{da}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\lambda_z \ . \tag{3.14}$$

Here a is the scalar mode used in the Seiberg-Witten theory [10] which we will define below. We will also see below that κdz is a holomorphic one form whose integral around the A-cycle is normalised to one. Of course until one specifies $F_{\mu\nu}$ the coefficient κ_0 has no independent meaning. However, with this choice it will turn out that the equations of motion imply that $F_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies a simple Bianchi identity and so can be written as the curl of the four dimensional gauge field. We point out that our final result for H is significantly different to those proposed in a number of recent works.

4. The Vector Equation of Motion

In this section we will obtain the four-dimensional equations of motion for the vector zero modes of the threebrane soliton. It is important to assume that X^{10} is compactified on a circle of radius R and redefine $s = (X^6 + iX^{10})/R$. This allows the connection between the M-fivebrane and perturbative type IIA string theory and quantum Yang-Mills theory to be made for small R [1] (it also ensures that $s(t)$ is well defined). Also, we promote Λ and z to have dimensions of mass which facilitates a more immediate contact with the Seiberg-Witten solution. Thus in using the previous formulae we must rescale $s \to Rs$ and $z \to \Lambda^{-2} z$ (except that $\lambda_z = ds/du$ as before).

We have seen in equation (2.32) that the three form equation of motion to lowest order is $E_{\mu z} dz - \bar{E}_{\mu \bar{z}} d\bar{z} = 0$. To obtain the equation of motion for the vector zero modes in four dimensions it is instructive to perform the reduction over the Riemann Surface in two ways. First consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int\limits_B \star dH \int\limits_A \bar{\lambda} - \int\limits_A \star dH \int\limits_B \bar{\lambda} \ , \\ &= \int\limits_B (E_{\mu z} dz - \bar{E}_{\mu \bar{z}} d\bar{z}) \int\limits_A \bar{\lambda} - \int\limits_A (E_{\mu z} dz - \bar{E}_{\mu \bar{z}} d\bar{z}) \int\limits_B \bar{\lambda} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

here A and B are a basis of cycles of the Riemann surface.

Before proceeding with the integrals in (4.1) it is necessary to remind the reader of the scalar fields a and a_D. In [10] it was shown that a global description of the moduli space was given by a pair of local coordinates $a(u)$ and $a_D(u)$ defined as the periods of a single holomorphic form λ_{SW}

$$a \equiv \int\limits_A \lambda_{SW} \ , \quad a_D \equiv \int\limits_B \lambda_{SW} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Furthermore the Seiberg-Witten differential λ_{SW} is itself defined so that $\partial \lambda_{SW} / \partial u = \lambda$. From (2.11) one can check that $\lambda_z = \partial s / \partial u$. From these definitions one sees that

$$\tau = \frac{\int_B \lambda}{\int_A \lambda} = \frac{da_D/du}{da/du} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

Here one sees that the coefficient in (3.14) serves to normalise the A-period of κdz to unity.

Returning to the equations of motion, if we substitute $H_{\mu\nu z} = R(ds/da)\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ into $E_{\mu z}$ and (4.1) we find that the terms involving T_μ combine to form a total derivative which can be ignored in the line integrals. The remaining terms can then simply be evaluated to give

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =&\partial^\nu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{da}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{da_D}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right) \\ &- \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu u \frac{d^2a}{du^2}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{da}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{da_D}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right) \\ &+ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu u \left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{d^2a}{du^2}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{d^2a_D}{du^2}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right) \\ &- \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu \bar{u} \left(\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{d^2\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}^2}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{d^2\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}^2}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Recalling that $\tau = da_D/da$ one easily obtains the equation of motion

$$0 = \partial^\nu \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\tau - \bar{\tau}) + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu u \frac{d\tau}{du} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\partial^\nu \bar{u}\frac{d\bar{\tau}}{d\bar{u}} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

Examining the real and imaginary parts of (4.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_{[\lambda}F_{\mu\nu]} \ , \\ 0 &= \mathrm{Im}(\partial_\mu(\tau\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu})) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Thus the choice of F given in (3.14) does indeed obey the standard Bianchi identity, justifying our ansatz for H. These are precisely the vector equation of motion obtained from the Seiberg-Witten effective action.

While the above derivation of the vector equation of motion using differential forms is simple and direct, the analogous procedure for the scalar equation of motion is not so straightforward. To this end let us consider another derivation of (4.5). Now we simply start from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\Sigma} \star dH \wedge \bar{\lambda} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} E_{\mu z} dz \wedge \bar{\lambda} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and directly substitute the same expressions in for H. In principle one may consider any one form in the integral in (4.7), rather than λ. However, since one needs the integrand to be well defined over the entire Riemann surface, there is effectively a unique choice, i.e. the holomorphic one form. Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \partial^{\nu}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1} I_0 - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}u\frac{d^2a}{du^2}\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-2} I_0 \ + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}u\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1}\frac{dI_0}{du} \\ & - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}u\left(\frac{da}{du}\right)^{-1} J + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\partial^{\nu}\bar{u}\left(\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right)^{-1} K \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= \int_{\Sigma} \lambda \wedge \bar{\lambda} \ , \\ J &= R^2\Lambda^4 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_z \left(\frac{\lambda_z^2 \partial_{\bar{z}}\bar{s}}{1 + R^2\Lambda^4 \partial_z s \partial_{\bar{z}}\bar{s}} \right) dz \wedge \bar{\lambda} \ , \\ K &= R^2\Lambda^4 \int_{\Sigma} \partial_z \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_{\bar{z}}^2 \partial_z s}{1 + R^2\Lambda^4 \partial_z s \partial_{\bar{z}}\bar{s}} \right) dz \wedge \bar{\lambda} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Here we see that we arrive at some non-holomorphic integrals over Σ. While it is straightforward to evaluate I_0 using the Riemann Bilinear relation to find

$$I_0 = \frac{da_D}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{da}{du}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} \ , \tag{4.10}$$

the J and K integrals require a more sophisticated analysis to evaluate them directly. However, upon comparing (4.8) with (4.4) we learn that

$$\begin{aligned} J &= 0 \ , \\ K &= \left(\frac{d^2\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}^2}\frac{d\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}} - \frac{d^2\bar{a}_D}{d\bar{u}^2}\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}} \right) \ , \\ &= -\left(\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right)^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{d\bar{u}} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Only with these identifications to we find that the equation of motion for the vectors is obtained in agreement with the first method. We will see that the J and K integrals will appear again in the scalar equation. We will discuss the explicit evaluation of these integrals elsewhere.

5. The Scalar Equation of Motion

In this section we will derive the equation of motion for the scalar zero modes when the vectors are non-zero. As seen in equation (2.26) above the equation of motion for the scalar zero modes in six dimensions is just $E = 0$. To reduce this equation to four dimensions we consider the analogue of (4.7)

$$0 = \int_{\Sigma} E dz \wedge \bar{\lambda} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

If we note that $\partial_\mu s = \lambda_z \partial_\mu u$ and substitute (3.14) for the three form we find

$$0 = \partial^\mu \partial_\mu u I_0 + \partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u \frac{dI_0}{du} - \partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u J - 16\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{d\bar{a}}{d\bar{u}}\right)^{-2} K. \tag{5.2}$$

Thus again the I_0, J and K integrals appear. Since we have deduced the values of these integrals previously we may simply write down the four-dimensional scalar equation of motion as

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 =&\partial^\mu\partial_\mu u\frac{da}{du}\frac{d\bar a}{d\bar u}(\tau-\bar\tau)+\partial^\mu u\partial_\mu u\left(\frac{da}{du}\frac{d\bar a}{d\bar u}\frac{d\tau}{du}+(\tau-\bar\tau)\frac{d^2a}{du^2}\frac{d\bar a}{d\bar u}\right)\\
&+16\bar{\mathcal F}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\mathcal F}^{\mu\nu}\frac{d\bar\tau}{d\bar u}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

This may then be rewritten as

$$
0=\partial^\mu\partial_\mu a(\tau-\bar\tau)+\partial^\mu a\partial_\mu a\frac{d\tau}{da}+16\bar{\mathcal F}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\mathcal F}^{\mu\nu}\frac{d\bar\tau}{d\bar a}\ . \tag{5.4}
$$

Thus we have obtained the complete low energy effective equations of motion for the M-fivebrane in the presence of threebranes. We note that both (4.5) and (5.4) can be obtained from the four-dimensional action

$$
S_{SW}=\int d^4x\ \mathrm{Im}\left(\tau\partial_\mu a\partial^\mu\bar a+16\tau\mathcal F_{\mu\nu}\mathcal F^{\mu\nu}\right)\ , \tag{5.5}
$$

which is precisely the bosonic part of the full Seiberg-Witten effective action for $N=2$ supersymmetric $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills [10].

6. Higher Derivative Terms

In the absence of the vectors the dynamics for the scalars can be encoded in the more familiar p-brane action

$$
S_5=M_p^6\int d^6x\sqrt{-\mathrm{det}g_{\hat m\hat n}}\ , \tag{6.1}
$$

where M_p is the eleven-dimensional Planck mass. It was shown in [2] that the terms only quadratic in spacetime derivatives were precisely those of the Seiberg-Witten action. In [2] it was pointed out that (6.1) predicts an infinite number of higher derivatives terms and the fourth order correction was explicitly given. In this section we examine these terms in more detail. The determinant of $g_{\hat{n}\hat{m}}$ can be shown to be given by

$$\sqrt{-\det g_{\hat{n}\hat{m}}} = \left(1+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{m}}s\partial^{\hat{m}}\bar{s}\right)\left\{1-\frac{1}{4}\frac{|\partial_{\hat{m}}s\partial^{\hat{m}}s|^2}{(1+\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\hat{m}}s\partial^{\hat{m}}\bar{s})}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ . \tag{6.2}$$

It is straightforward to substitute this expression into the above action and then Taylor expand in the number of spacetime derivatives. The result after subtracting a total derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} S_5 =& \frac{M_p^6R^2}{\Lambda^4}\frac{1}{2i}\int d^4x dz\wedge d\bar{z}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu\bar{u}\frac{1}{Q\bar{Q}}\right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{n=1,p=0}^{\infty} R^{2p+4n-2}C_{n,p}\frac{(\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu\bar{u})^p|\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu u|^{2n}}{(Q\bar{Q})(Q\bar{Q}+4R^2\Lambda^4z\bar{z})^{p+2n-1}}\right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where

$$C_{n,p} = (-1)^n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2n+p}\begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2}\\ n\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}-2n+1\\ p\end{pmatrix}, \quad n\geq 1, \quad p\geq 0 \ , \tag{6.4}$$

and $\binom{n}{m}$ is the mth binomial coefficient in the expansion of $(1+x)^n$. The first term is none other than the Seiberg-Witten action. Rewriting the remaning terms, (6.3) can be cast in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S_5 =& \frac{M_p^6R^2}{\Lambda^4}\frac{1}{2i}\int d^4x\left\{\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu\bar{u}I_0\right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{n=1,p=0}^{\infty} C_{n,p}I_{(p+2n-1)}(\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu\bar{u})^p|\partial_\mu u\partial^\mu u|^{2n}\right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4k}}\int dz\wedge d\bar{z}\left(\frac{1}{Q\bar{Q}}\right)\left(\frac{R^2\Lambda^4}{Q\bar{Q}+4R^2\Lambda^4z\bar{z}}\right)^k \ , \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda^{6k+2}}\int dz_0\wedge d\bar{z}_0\left(\frac{1}{Q_0\bar{Q}_0}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{Q_0\bar{Q}_0+4\sigma^2z_0\bar{z}_0}\right)^k \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Here $\sigma = R\Lambda$, $z_0 = z/\Lambda$, $Q_0 = \sqrt{(z_0^2+u_0)^2-1}$ and $u_0 = u/\Lambda^2$. This form for I_k makes it clear that they obey the scaling relation $I_k(\rho^{-1}R, \rho\Lambda, \rho^2u) =$

$\rho^{-6k-2}I_k(R,\Lambda,u)$. The integrals I_k that occur in the action are finite, that is there are no singularities of the integrand on the Riemann surface which lead the integrals to diverge. Given the type of singularities that can occur at $z=\infty$ and at the roots of Q it is remarkable to examine how the above integral avoids the possible divergences. This is presumably a tribute to the consistency of M theory.

Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we may place a bound on the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &\leq \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4k}}\left|\int dz\wedge d\bar{z}\frac{1}{Q\bar{Q}}\right|\left|\int dz\wedge d\bar{z}\left(\frac{R^2\Lambda^4}{Q\bar{Q}+4R^2\Lambda^2 z\bar{z}}\right)^k\right| \\ &\leq R^{2k}\left|\int dz\wedge d\bar{z}\frac{1}{Q\bar{Q}}\right|^{k+1} . \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

To obtain the last line we used the fact that $|Q\bar{Q}| \leq |Q\bar{Q}+4R^2\Lambda^4 z\bar{z}|$. The expression that occurs in the final line is just that for the Seiberg-Witten action which we can evaluate exactly. In particular in the region $|u|\rightarrow\infty$ we therefore find that

$$I_k \leq R^{2k}\left(\left|\frac{da}{du}\right|^2 \mathrm{Im}\tau\right)^{k+1} \approx R^{2k}\left|\frac{\ln u}{u}\right|^{k+1} . \tag{6.8}$$

The most interesting question is whether the above higher derivative terms which originate from the classical fivebrane equations of motion are related to those that occur in the $N=2$ Yang-Mills theory. Yang-Mills theory has an effective action which in principle depends on $g_{YM},\hbar,u$ and the renormalisation scale μ. However, as can be seen from the classical action, g_{YM} and $\hbar$ always appear as $\hbar g_{YM}^2$. It is also well known from the renormalisation group that $\hbar g_{YM}^2$ and μ appear as a single scale Λ_{QCD} in the quantum theory. Thus the low energy effective action for Yang-Mills theory only depends on Λ_{QCD} and u. By comparing I_0 with the the Seiberg-Witten solution one learns that $\Lambda=\Lambda_{QCD}$. However the extra parameter σ appears in I_k for $k\geq 1$, hence the higher derivative terms of (6.1) also depend on σ. From this observation it is clear that the higher derivative terms in (6.5) can never reproduce those obtained from the Yang-Mills equations. The appearance of the extra parameter in the M-fivebrane effective action reflects the fact that these are really the long wave length equations of a self-dual string theory, not a Yang-Mills theory. However, let us see how they qualitatively compare. The higher derivative terms of Yang-Mills theory are of the form

$$\int d^4x \int d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} K(A, \bar{A}) \ . \tag{6.9}$$

Unfortunately, the exact form of K is not not known, but arguments have been given to suggest that K has the form (at lowest order) $K \propto \ln A \ \ln \bar{A}$ [11]. Using the expression of [11] we may evaluate this in terms of $N = 1$ superfields from which it is apparent that the result is of the form (ignoring logarithmic corrections) $|\partial_\mu a \partial^\mu \bar{a}|^2 |a|^{-4}$, in the region of large a.

This must be compared with the first term in the expansion (6.3). Due to its subtle form, it is difficult to evaluate the integral I_1, even in the large u limit. One could assume that the dominant contributions come from the zeroes in Q_0 or make the substitution $Q_0 = |z_0|^2 + u_0$. Both these approximations are consistent with the bound (6.8) and lead to the behaviour $I_1 \approx k|u|^{-2}$ where the constant k is independent of σ. Since we have in this region $u \propto a^2$, we must conclude that if the suggested higher derivative corrections to the $N = 2$ Yang-Mills theory are correct and our approximation methods reliable then the higher derivative corrections obtained from the classical M-fivebrane dynamics have a weaker fall-off for large u than those of the Yang-Mills theory. Since it is believed that these additional terms come from a string theory it is natural to see that the high energy behaviour is qualitatively different from that of a field theory.

7. Discussion

In this paper we have presented the complete details concerning the evaluation of the bosonic low energy effective action for threebrane solitons in the M-fivebrane. In particular we explicitly derived the equations of motion for the vector degrees of freedom and verified that they exactly reproduce those of the Seiberg-Witten effective action. We also discussed an infinite number of higher derivative terms predicted by the M-fivebrane theory and compared them with the expected higher derivative terms of $N = 2$ $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills. We note here that the generalisation to the gauge group $SU(N)$ is easily obtained by considering N threebranes with $N - 1$ moduli u_i as in [2]. In this case the natural choice for the three form is $H_{\mu\nu z} = (ds/du_i)\mathcal{F}^i_{\mu\nu}$. In addition one may also consider $SO(N)$ and $Sp(N)$ groups by substituting in the curves of [12] for $F(t, s)$.

Finally, since one motivation of this paper was to set up the appropriate formalism to calculate four-dimensional effective actions with $N = 1$ supersymmetry, let us briefly describe how this might work. The simplest generalisation would be to consider threebrane solitons obtained by the intersection of three M-fivebranes over a threebrane. This is achieved by turning on an addition complex scalar $w = X^7 + iX^8$. Following [3] one again finds that a configuration with X^6, X^{10} and X^7, X^8 active will preserve one quarter of the M-fivebrane supersymmetry, provided that both s and w are holomorphic functions of z. Furthermore the M-fivebrane equations of motion will also be automatically satisfied in this case. Thus, a configuration with both s and w holomorphic will lead to a threebrane soliton with $N = 1$ supersymmetry on its worldvolume with both vector and scalar zero modes. Once can then follow the analysis presented in this paper and derive the low energy effective equations of motion for both the vector and scalar zero modes. However, unlike the $N = 2$ case considered here, there will be no supersymmetry which relates the two. It would be interesting to see if the correct quantum corrections to the low energy effective action are also predicted by these models.

Note Added

While this paper was being written we received a copy of [13] which has some overlap with section six of this paper. There the derivation of the Seiberg-Witten effective action from the M-fivebrane presented in [2] was repeated. The first higher derivative correction found in [2] is considered and it is concluded that these terms are not from a Yang-Mills theory.

REFERENCES

1. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B500** (1997) 3, hep-th/9703166
2. P.S. Howe, N.D. Lambert and P.C. West, *Classical M-Fivebrane Dynamics and Quantum $N = 2$ Yang-Mills*, hep-th/9710034
3. P.S. Howe, N.D. Lambert and P.C. West, *The Threebrane Soliton of the M-fivebrane*, hep-th/9710033
4. E. Witten, *The Five-Brane Effective Action in M theory*, hep-th/9610234
5. E. Witten, private communication
6. P.S. Howe, E. Sezgin and P.C. West, Phys. Lett. **B399** (1997) 49, hep-th/9702008
7. P.S. Howe and E. Sezgin, Phys. Lett. **B394** (1997) 62, hep-th/9611008
8. E. Bergshoeff and E. Sezgin, Nucl. Phys. **B422** (1994) 329, hep-th/9312168
9. M. Perry and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1997) 47, hep-th/9611065; M. Aganagic, J. Park, C. Popescu, and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B496** (1997) 191, hep-th/9701166; P. Pasti, D. Sorokin and M. Tonin, Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 41, hep-th/9701037; I. Bandos, K Lechner, A. Nurmagambetov, P. Pasti and D. Sorokin, and M. Tonin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 4332, hep-th/9701149
10. N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994) 19, hep-th/9407087

11. B. de Wit, M.T. Grisaru and M. Rocek, Phys. Lett. **B374** (1996) 297, hep-th/9601115

12. A. Brandhuber, J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Nucl. Phys. **B504** (1997) 175, hep-th/9705232

13. J. de Boer, K. Hori, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz. *Kähler Potential and Higher Derivative Terms from M theory Fivebrane*, hep-th/9711143